

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,787	11/08/2001	Donald W. Taylor	DE009DT-1	9660	
7	590 06/30/200	4	EXAM	INER	
MICHAEL K	. BOYER		CAIN, EDWARD J		
CHIEF PATEN	IT COUNSEL		L ADDITION TO	D. DED . W. COED	
ORSCHELN N	IANAGEMENT CO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2000 US HWY	63 SOUTH		1714		
MOBERLY, N	MO 65270				

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,787	DONALD W. TAY	LOR ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
^	Edward J. Cain	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

Art Unit: 1714

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites a "polymer selected from the group consisting of vinyl acetate, vinyl acetate copolymers ... polyvinyl acetate..." It is unclear what distinction applicants intend between a polymer of vinyl acetate and polyvinyl acetate. Appropriate clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyata.

Miyata discloses anti-corrosive compositions comprising polyvinyl acetate emulsion and oleic acid (claims 1 and 3).

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hen.

Hen discloses methods of scale inhibition comprising application of compositions comprising polyvinyl acetate, salt water or brine and other additives (see claims 1, 5 and 11).

Therefore the reference anticipates the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bier.

Bier discloses aqueous paint compositions comprising binder, water soluble salts and silicone anti-foam agents (claims 6, 7 and 14). Among the salts taught as suitable is sodium chloride. Among the binders taught as suitable are polyvinyl alcohol, metal silicates and mixtures thereof.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the components meeting applicants' claim limitations with the reasonable expectation that suitable paint compositions would result.

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,787

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '770.

WO '770 discloses aqueous corrosion preventing systems comprising polymer carriers, metal silicates and metal chlorides (claims 1, 5, 9, 16 and 18). Among the polymers taught as suitable is ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select ethylene vinyl acetate copolymer and sodium chloride as the carrier and chloride ion source, respectively, with the expectation that suitable results would ensue.

Regarding applicants' limitation to "naturally occurring salt containing water", all water (unless purposely treated) contains salts of various types.

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714